# Designs which allow each medical centre to treat only a limited number of cancer types with only a limited number of drugs

R. A. Bailey

University of St Andrews





Design of Experiments: New Challenges, Centre International de Rencontres Mathématiques, 1 May 2018

Joint work with Peter Cameron (University of St Andrews)

### Abstract: I

In order to keep the protocol for a cancer clinical trial simple for each medical centre involved, it is proposed to limit each medical centre to only a few of the cancer types and only a few of the drugs. Let  $v_1$  be the total number of cancer types, and  $v_2$  the total number of drugs. At the workshop on Design and Analysis of Experiments in Healthcare at the INI, Cambridge, in 2015, Valerii Fedorov listed the following desirable properties.

- (a) All medical centres involve the same number, say  $k_1$ , of cancer types, where  $k_1 < v_1$ .
- All medical centres use the same number, say  $k_2$ , of drugs, where  $k_2 < v_2$ .
- (c) Each pair of distinct cancer types are involved together at the same non-zero number, say  $\lambda_{11}$ , of medical centres.
- (d) Each pair of distinct drugs are used together at the same non-zero number, say  $\lambda_{22}$ , of medical centres.
- (e) Each drug is used on each type of cancer at the same number, say  $\lambda_{12}$ , of medical centres.

Abstract: II

The first four conditions state that, considered separately, the designs for cancer types and drugs are balanced incomplete-block designs

(a.k.a. BIBDs or 2-designs)

with the medical centres as blocks. We propose calling a design that satisfies all five properties a 2-part BIBD or 2-part 2-design.

The parameters of a 2-part 2-design satsify some equations, and also an inequality that generalizes both Fisher's inequality and Bose's inequality.

We give several constructions of 2-part 2-designs, then generalize them to m-part 2-designs.

An example:  $v_1 = 6$ ,  $k_1 = 3$ ,  $v_2 = 5$ ,  $k_2 = 2$ , b = 10

Combinations: 6 Cancer Types and 5 Drugs'



		Cancer						
	Block	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	
	1	D1,5	D1,5	D1,5				
Operational constraints for	2	D1,2				D1,2	D1,2	
blocks (sub trials):	3	D2,3		D2,3	D2,3			
No more than3 cancer types per block Only 2 drugs per block	4	D3,4	D3,4				D3,4	
	5	D4,5			D4,5	D4,5		
	6		D1,3		D1,3	D1,3		
	7		D2,4	D2,4		D2,4		
	8			D3,5		D3,5	D3,5	
	9			D1,4	D1,4		D1,4	
	10		D2,5		D2,5		D2,5	
roperties:  Every pair of drugs at one trial  Every pair of cancertypes at two trials			Benchmarking: in reality "practical" designs take into account medical knowledge, disease prevalence, differing enrollment rates per cancer					

operties: Every pair of drugs at one trial Every pair of cancer types at two trials Every drug with every cancer type at two trials

Thanks to Valerii Fedorov for this image.

Comparison with classical factorial designs

Block 1 of our example is shown as

	C1	C2	C3
ĺ	D1, D5	D1, D5	D1, D5

which means that the medical centre which it represents will accept into the trial only patients with cancer types 1, 2 or 3; patients of each of these types will be randomized (in approximately equal numbers) to

- drug 1, drug 5 (original idea) (placebo may be one of the listed "drugs")
- drug 1, drug 5, and placebo (modified idea)
- drug 1, drug 5, their combination, and placebo (further modification).

Contrast this with a classical factorial design in blocks, which would never have level C1 of factor C occuring in several combinations in a block while level C4 does not occur The concise representation of the design

Block	Cancer types	Drugs
1	C1, C2, C3	D1, D5
2	C1, C5, C6	D1, D2
3	C1, C3, C4	D2, D3
4	C1, C2, C6	D3, D4
5	C1, C4, C5	D4, D5
6	C2, C4, C5	D1, D3
7	C2, C3, C5	D2, D4
8	C3, C5, C6	D3, D5
9	C3, C4, C6	D1, D4
10	C2, C4, C6	D2, D5

Warning! This does not mean that each block has 5 treatments.

in that block at all.

2-part 2-designs

2-part 2-designs

### Definition of 2-part 2-design

### Definition

A 2-part 2-design for  $v_1$  cancer types and  $v_2$  drugs in b medical centres, with further parameters  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ ,  $\lambda_{11}$ ,  $\lambda_{22}$  and  $\lambda_{12}$ , is an allocation of cancer types and drugs to medical centres satisfying:

- (a) all medical centres involve  $k_1$  cancer types, where  $k_1 < v_1$ ;
- (b) all medical centres use  $k_2$  drugs, where  $k_2 < v_2$ ;
- (c) each pair of distinct cancer types occur together at  $\lambda_{11}$  medical centres, where  $\lambda_{11} > 0$ ;
- (d) each pair of distinct drugs occur together at  $\lambda_{22}$  medical centres, where  $\lambda_{22} > 0$ ;
- (e) each drug occurs with each type of cancer at  $\lambda_{12}$  medical centres.

### Conditions on parameters

### Theorem

In a 2-part 2-design with parameters  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$ , b,  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$ ,  $\lambda_{11}$ ,  $\lambda_{22}$  and  $\lambda_{12}$ , the following hold.

- 1. Each cancer type occurs in  $r_1$  blocks, where  $v_1r_1 = bk_1$ .
- 2. Each drug occurs in  $r_2$  blocks, where  $v_2r_2 = bk_2$ .
- 3.  $\lambda_{11}(v_1-1)=r_1(k_1-1)$ .
- 4.  $\lambda_{22}(v_2-1)=r_2(k_2-1)$ .
- 5.  $bk_1k_2 = v_1v_2\lambda_{12}$ .
- 6.  $b \ge v_1 + v_2 1$ .

 Bailey
 2-part 2-designs
 7/26/Bailey
 2-part 2-designs
 8/2

### A generalization of resolvability

# In general, $r_1 \neq r_2$ , so we cannot use the usual definition of resolvable design.

### Definition

A 2-part block design is c-partitionable if the set of blocks can be grouped into c classes of b/c blocks each, in such a way that every cancer type occurs the same number of times in each class and every drug occurs the same number of times in each class.

### Theorem

If a 2-part 2-design is c-partitionable then  $b \ge v_1 + v_2 + c - 2$ .

### Easy construction I: Cartesian product

Let  $\Delta_1$  be a BIBD for  $v_1$  treatments in  $b_1$  blocks of size  $k_1$ , and let  $\Delta_2$  be a BIBD for  $v_2$  treatments in  $b_2$  blocks of size  $k_2$ . Form all  $b_1b_2$  combinations of a block of each sort. For each block combination, form the Cartesian product of their sets of treatments.

The result is a 2-part 2-design, but it has  $b_1b_2$  blocks, which is often too large.

Bailey 2-part 2-designs 9/26Bailey 2-part 2-designs 10/26

### Easy construction II: Swap

Given a 2-part 2-design, create another one, interchanging the values of  $k_1$  and  $v_1 - k_1$ , by replacing the set of cancer types in each block by the complementary set of cancer types.

The result is also a 2-part 2-design so long as  $v_1 - k_1 \ge 2$ .

Similarly, swap drugs to interchange  $k_2$  and  $v_2 - k_2$ .

### Easy construction III: Interchange

Given a 2-part 2-design, create another one, interchanging the values of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , and the values of  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ , by interchanging the roles of cancer types and drugs.

 Bailey
 2-part 2-designs
 11/26 Bailey
 2-part 2-designs
 12/26

### Serious construction I: Subcartesian product

Let  $\Delta_1$  be a BIBD for  $v_1$  treatments in  $b_1$  blocks of size  $k_1$ , and let  $\Delta_2$  be a BIBD for  $v_2$  treatments in  $b_2$  blocks of size  $k_2$ . Suppose that  $\Delta_2$  is resolvable with replication r, and that r divides  $b_1$ .

Partition the set of blocks of  $\Delta_1$  into r sets of  $b_1/r$  blocks, in any way at all.

Match these sets to the r resolution classes of  $\Delta_2$ , in any way at all.

For each matched pair, construct the cartesian product design.

The result is a 2-part 2-design, and it has  $b_1b_2/r$  blocks.

### An example of a subcartesian product: $v_1 = 3$ , $v_2 = 4$

				$\Delta_2$ resolvable
		_		
$\Delta_1$	Block	Cancer types	Drugs	r=3
b=3	1	C1, C2	D1, D3	D1, D3
$\frac{v-3}{\text{C1, C2}}$	2	C1, C2	D2, D4	D2, D4
C1, C2 C1, C3	3	C1, C3	D2, D3	D2, D3
C2, C3	4	C1, C3	D1, D4	D1, D4
<u>C2, C3</u>	5	C2, C3	D1, D2	D1, D2
	6	C2, C3	D3, D4	D3, D4

### Serious construction II: Hadamard matrix

If  $v_1 = v_2 = 2k_1 = 2k_2 = 2n$ , write down a Hadamard matrix of order 4n with all entries +1 in the first row.

```
+1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1 -1 -1
                        -1 - 1
+1 -1 +1 -1 +1 -1 +1 -1 +1 +1 -1
          +1 +1 -1
                  -1 +1
                        -1 +1 +1
+1
  +1 +1 -1
          -1
             -1 -1 +1 +1
                        -1 +1 -1
+1
  -1
     -1
        +1 +1
             -1 +1 +1 +1
                        -1 -1 -1
       +1
          -1 +1 -1 +1 -1 +1 -1
+1 -1 +1 +1
          -1
             -1 -1 -1 +1 +1 -1 +1
     -1
        -1
          +1
                -1
                   +1
                      -1
                        +1
                              +1
+1 +1 -1 +1 -1 -1 +1 -1 -1 +1 +1
+1 +1 -1 -1 -1 +1 +1 -1 +1 -1 -1
  -1 +1 -1 -1 +1 +1 +1 -1 -1
```

### Serious construction II: Hadamard matrix

If  $v_1 = v_2 = 2k_1 = 2k_2 = 2n$ , write down a Hadamard matrix of order 4n with all entries +1 in the first row.

Replace all  $\pm$  entries in row 2 with levels of C/D.

```
+1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1 +1
C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 D1 D2 D3 D4 D5
                                    D6
+1 -1 +1 -1 +1 -1 +1 -1 +1 +1
+1 -1 -1 -1 +1 +1 -1 -1 +1 +1
          -1
             -1
                -1
                   -1
                       +1
                          +1
+1
         +1 +1 -1 +1 +1 +1 -1 -1
                                   -1
         +1
            -1 +1 -1 +1
                          -1
                             +1 +1 -1
   -1 +1
         +1
             -1
                -1
                   -1
                       -1
                          +1
                             +1
                                 -1
                                    +1
+1 +1 -1
         -1 +1 -1 -1 +1 -1
                                -1 +1
                             +1
+1 +1 -1 +1 -1 -1 +1 -1 -1
                             -1
                                +1 +1
+1 +1 -1 -1 -1 +1 +1 -1 +1 -1
                                   -1
+1 \ \ -1 \ \ +1 \ \ -1 \ \ -1 \ \ +1 \ \ +1 \ \ +1 \ \ -1 \ \ -1 \ \ +1
```

Row 3  $\rightarrow$  {C1,C3,C5||D1,D4,D5} and {C2,C4,C6||D2,D3,D6}.

And so on, so b = 2(4n - 2) = 8n - 4.

### Serious construction III: Symmetric BIBD

Start with a BIBD for *v* treatments in *v* blocks of size *k*, where each pair of blocks have  $\lambda$  treatments in common, and  $\lambda > 1$  and  $3 \le k \le v - k$ .

Choose one block, and identify its treatments with drugs (so  $v_2 = k$ ).

Identify the other treatments with cancer types (so  $v_1 = v - k$ ). Each remaining block gives a block of our 2-part 2-design, so

$$\begin{array}{rcl} b & = & v-1 \\ k_2 & = & \lambda \\ k_1 & = & k-\lambda \\ \lambda_{11} & = & \lambda \\ \lambda_{12} & = & \lambda \\ \lambda_{22} & = & \lambda-1. \end{array}$$

# An example from a symmetric BIBD: $v_1 = 6$ , $v_2 = 5$

r	ows	are b	locks	3				2-pa	rt 2-c	lesigr	1	
1	5	3	4	9	_		dr	ugs	caı	ncer t	ypes	_
2	6	4	5	10			D2	D4	C2	C3	C5	_
3	7	5	6	0			D2	D3	C1	C3	C4	
4	8	6	7	1			D1	D4	C3	C4	C6	
5	9	7	8	2			D2	D5	C2	C4	C6	
6	10	8	9	3			D3	D5	C3	C5	C6	
7	0	9	10	4			D4	D5	C1	C4	C5	
8	1	10	0	5			D1	D2	C1	C5	C6	
9	2	0	1	6			D1	D5	C1	C2	C3	
10	3	1	2	7			D1	D3	C2	C4	C5	
0	4	2	3	8			D3	D4	C1	C2	C6	
1	5	3	4		9		0	2	6	7	8	10
		-			-		-	_	-	-	-	
D1	D2	D3	3 D	4	D5		C1	C2	C3	C4	C6	C5
This	is exa	actly	the f	irst	2-na	art 2-d	esion	that	Lsho	wed v	VO11.	

This is exactly the first 2-part 2-design that I showed you.

17/26 Bailey 2-part 2-designs 2-part 2-designs

### Serious construction IV: Augmentation

### Easy construction IV: Group-divisible designs

Given a 2-part 2-design with  $v_2=2k_2+1$ , add an extra drug, increasing  $v_2$  to  $v_2+1$ ,  $k_2$  to  $k_2+1$  and b to 2b.

Replace each previous block by two new blocks, both with the original subset of cancer types.

One of these has the same drugs as before, plus the new drug. The other has all the remaining drugs.

If  $v_1=v_2$  and  $k_1=k_2$  then the concise form of a 2-part 2-design is a "semi-regular group-divisible incomplete block-design for two groups of treatments".

Look these up in Clatworthy's Tables of Two-Associate Class Partially Balanced Designs.

Railov 2-nart 2-dosigns 19/2/Railov 2-nart 2-dosigns 20/2/

### Serious construction V: Permutation groups

# If there is a group *G* which acts doubly transitively on the set of cancer types and also acts doubly transitively on the set of drugs, then choose an initial block and then get the remaining blocks by applying the permutations in *G* to it.

Interesting examples are too large to fit on a slide!

### Extending the problem

On 28 March 2016, Valerii sent me the png file of the first design in this talk. When I thanked him, he emailed back the next day with

Dear Rosemary, It can be never ending story .... For instance, can we extend the table below and add another factor: oncogenes (biomarker)? ...

Ballow 2 mart 2 decime 21 /24Ballow 2 mart 2 decime 22 /24

### 3-part 2-designs

In a 3-part 2-design, we also have a set of  $v_3$  biomarkers, such that

- (a) all medical centres involve  $k_1$  cancer types, where  $k_1 < v_1$ ;
- (b) all medical centres use  $k_2$  drugs, where  $k_2 < v_2$ ;
- (c) each pair of distinct cancer types occur together at  $\lambda_{11}$  medical centres, where  $\lambda_{11}>0$ ;
- (d) each pair of distinct drugs occur together at  $\lambda_{22}$  medical centres, where  $\lambda_{12}>0$ ;
- (e) each drug occurs with each type of cancer at  $\lambda_{12}$  medical centree:
- (f) all medical centres use  $k_3$  biomarkers, where  $k_3 < v_3$ ;
- (g) each pair of distinct biomarkers occur together at  $\lambda_{33}$  medical centres, where  $\lambda_{33}>0$ ;
- (h) each biomarker occurs with each type of cancer at  $\lambda_{13}$  medical centres;
- (i) each biomarker occurs with each drug at  $\lambda_{23}$  medical centres.

2-part 2-designs

### Serious new construction: Orthogonal array

Let  $\Delta_1$  be a BIBD for  $v_1$  treatments in  $b_1$  blocks of size  $k_1$ ,  $\Delta_2$  a BIBD for  $v_2$  treatments in  $b_2$  blocks of size  $k_2$ , and  $\Delta_3$  a BIBD for  $v_3$  treatments in  $b_3$  blocks of size  $k_3$ . Use an orthogonal array of strength 2, with three columns,

Use an orthogonal array of strength 2, with three columns, where column i has  $b_i$  symbols.

For each row of the orthogonal array, construct the cartesian product of the three blocks, one in each of  $\Delta_1$ ,  $\Delta_2$  and  $\Delta_3$ .

23/26 Bailey 2-part 2-designs 24/26

# An example using an orthogonal array: $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = 3$

### 

array Block types Drugs	B1, B2
	,
1 1 1 1 C1, C2 D1, D2	
2 2 2 2 C1, C3 D1, D3	B1, B3
3 3 3 3 C2, C3 D2, D3	B2, B3
1 3 2 4 C1, C2 D2, D3	B1, B3
2 1 3 5 C1, C3 D1, D2	B2, B3
3 2 1 6 C2, C3 D1, D3	B1, B2
1 2 3 7 C1, C2 D1, D3	B2, B3
2 3 1 8 C1, C3 D2, D3	B1, B2
3 1 2 9 C2, C3 D1, D2	B1, B3

# General multi-part BIBDs

The foregoing definition extends to m different types of thing. Most of the constructions generalize.

### Theorem

Let  $\Delta$  be an m-part 2-design with  $v_i$  things of type i, for  $i=1,\ldots,m$ . If  $\Delta$  is c-partitionable then  $b \geq v_1 + \cdots + v_m + c - m$ . In particular,  $b \geq v_1 + \cdots + v_m - m + 1$ .