	Substitutes for the re-	un avietant envena lattica		Ou	tline		
	Eleventh Wor Statistical Metho COBURU, Słupia Wie	r 36 varieties Bailey QMUL (emerita) QMUL (emerita) QMUL (emerita) QMUL (emerita) Constant QMUL (emerita) Constant QMUL (emerita) Constant QMUL (emerita) Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Constant Consta			 Background New designs constructed New designs constructed New designs found by co Comparison of designs References 	from the Sylvester graph from semi-Latin squares mputer search	
Bailey	36 varieties	SMVT 2018	1/48	Bailey	36 varieties	SMVT 2018	2/48
Cha	pter 1			Res	olvable block designs		
	Background				Trials of new crop varieties typ varieties. Even at a well-run testing cent inhomogeneity among the plo desirable to group the plots in usually too small to contain all For management reasons, it is can themselves be grouped int each variety occurs exactly one design is called resolvable. (Some people call these resolve Williams (1977) called them ge	bically have a large number of re, ts (experimental units) makes it to homogeneous blocks, I the varieties. often convenient if the blocks to replicates, in such a way that ce in each replicate. Such a block d designs. <i>meralized lattice</i> designs.)	
Bailey	36 varieties	SMVT 2018	3/48	Bailey	36 varieties	SMVT 2018	4/48

Square lattice designs

Yates (1936, 1937) introduced square lattice designs for this purpose. The number of varieties has the form n^2 for some integer n, and each replicate consists of n blocks of n plots. Imagine the varieties listed in an abstract $n \times n$ square array. The rows of this array form the blocks of the first replicate, and the columns of this array form the blocks of the second replicate.

Let *r* be the number of replicates. If r > 2 then r - 2 mutually orthogonal Latin squares of order *n* are needed. For each of these Latin squares, each letter determines a block of size *n*.

What is a Latin square?

Definition

Let *n* be a positive integer.

A Latin square of order n is an $n \times n$ array of cells in which n symbols are placed, one per cell, in such a way that each symbol occurs once in each row and once in each column.

Here is a Latin square of order 4.

A	В	С	D
В	A	D	С
С	D	A	В
D	С	В	Α

6/48

Mutually orthogonal Latin squares

36 varieties

Definition

Bailey

A pair of Latin squares of order *n* are orthogonal to each other if, when they are superposed, each letter of one occurs exactly once with each letter of the other.

SMVT 2018

SMVT 2018

Here are a pair of orthogonal Latin squares of order 4.

A	В	C	D	α	β	γ	δ
В	A	D	С	γ	δ	α	β
С	D	A	В	δ	γ	β	α
D	С	В	A	β	α	δ	γ

Definition

A collection of Latin squares of the same order is **mutually orthogonal** if every pair is orthogonal.

36 varieties

≥y

7/48

5/48

Bailey

Square lattice designs for 16 varieties in 2–4 replicates

1	2	3	4	Α	В	C
5	6	7	8	В	A	Ľ
9	10	11	12	С	D	A
13	14	15	16	D	С	В

36 varieties

D	α	β	
С	γ	δ	
В	δ	γ	
A	β	α	

SMVT 2018

α

SMVT 2018

R	epl	plicate 1 Replicate 2				Replicate 3					Replicate 4						
1	5	9	13		1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		1	2	3	4
2	6	10	14		5	6	7	8	6	5	8	7		7	8	5	6
3	7	11	15		9	10	11	12	11	12	9	10		12	11	10	9
4	8	12	16		13	14	15	16	16	15	14	13		14	13	16	15

Using a third Latin square orthogonal to the previous two Latin squares gives a fifth replicate, if required.

All pairwise variety concurrences are in $\{0, 1\}$.

36 varieties

Bailev

Square lattice designs for n^2 varieties in rn blocks of n	Good property I: Last-minute changes
 Square lattice designs for n² varieties, arranged in <i>r</i> replicates, each replicate consisting of <i>n</i> blocks of size <i>n</i>. Construction Write the varieties in an n × n square array. The blocks of Replicate 1 are given by the rows; the blocks of Replicate 2 are given by the columns. If r = 2 then STOP. Otherwise, write down r - 2 mutually orthogonal Latin squares of order <i>n</i>. For i = 3 to <i>r</i>, the blocks of Replicate <i>i</i> correspond to the letters in Latin square <i>i</i> - 2. 	Adding or removing a replicate to/from a square lattice design gives another square lattice design, which can permit last-minute changes in the number of replicates used.
Bailey 36 varieties SMVT 2018 9/48	Bailey 36 varieties SMVT 2018 10/48
Good property II: Nearly equal concurrences	Efficiency factors and optimality
The concurrence of two varieties is the number of blocks in which they both occur. It is widely believed that good designs have all concurrences as equal as possible, and so this condition is often used in the search for good designs. In square lattice designs, all concurrences are equal to 0 or 1. If $r = n + 1$ then all concurrences are equal to 1 and so the design is balanced.	Given an incomplete-block design for a set \mathcal{T} of varieties in which all blocks have size k and all treatments occur r times, the $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}$ concurrence matrix Λ has (i, j) -entry equal to the number of blocks in which treatments i and j both occur, and the information matrix is $I - (rk)^{-1}\Lambda$. The constant vectors are in the null space of the information matrix. The eigenvalues for the other eigenvectors are called canonical efficiency factors: the larger the better. Let μ_A be the harmonic mean of the canonical efficiency factors. The average variance of the estimate of a difference between two varieties in this design is $\frac{1}{\mu_A} \times$ the average variance in an experiment μ_A of μ_A is the average variance of r of given values of r
Bailey 36 varieties SMVT 2018 11/48	and k and number of varieties, is A-optimal. Bailey SMVT 2018 12/48

Goo	od property III: Optimality			W	Ve have a problem when $n = 6$	
	Cheng and Bailey (1991) showed t lattice designs are <mark>optimal</mark> among even over non-resolvable designs. Thus the aforementioned addition does not result in a poor design.	hat, if $r \le n + 1$, square block designs of this size, or removal of a replicate			If $n \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9\}$ then there is a complete set of $n - 1$ mutually orthogonal Latin squares of order n . Using these gives a square lattice design for n^2 treatments in $n(n + 1)$ blocks of size n , which is a balanced incomplete-block design. There is not even a pair of mutually orthogonal Latin squares of order 6, so square lattice designs for 36 treatments are available for 2 or 3 replicates only. Patterson and Williams (1976) used computer search to find a design for 36 treatments in 4 replicates of blocks of size 6. All pairwise treatment concurrences are in $\{0, 1, 2\}$. The value of its A-criterion μ_A is 0.836, which compares well with the unachievable upper bound of 0.840.	
Bailey	36 varieties	SMVT 2018	13/48	Bailey	36 varieties SMVT 2018	14/4
Cha	pter 2			Т	he Sylvester graph and its starfish	
	New designs constructed from the	e Sylvester graph			The Sylvester graph Σ is a graph on 36 vertices with valency 5. It has a transitive group of automorphisms (permutations of the vertices which take edges to edges), so it looks the same from each vertex. The vertices can be thought of as the cells of a 6 × 6 grid.	
Bailey	36 varieties	SMVT 2018	15/48	Bailey	has 6 vertices, one in each row and one in each column. $\frac{36}{36 \text{ varieties}}$	16/4

Pedantic naming



When I started to explain these ideas, I called this set of six vertices the **spider** centred at *a*. Peter Cameron pointed out that spiders usually have more than five legs, whereas some starfish have five.

Starfish	whose	centres	are	in	the	same	column
Starnish	VIIIO3C	Centres	arc	111	LIIC	Same	Column

36 varieties



If there is an edge from *a* to *c* and an edge from *b* to *c* then the starfish S(c) has two vertices in the third column.

This cannot happen,

so the starfish S(a) and S(b) have no vertices in common.

So, for any one column,

36 varieties

the 6 starfish centred on vertices in that column do not overlap, and so they give a single replicate of 6 blocks of size 6.

Bailey

SMVT 2018

17/48

19/48

Bailey

A real starfish



The galaxy of starfish centered on column 3

D	Α	B^*	С	Ε	F
F	Ε	C^*	В	D	Α
Ε	В	A^*	D	F	С
В	F	D^*	A	С	E
Α	С	E^*	F	В	D
С	D	F^*	Ε	Α	В
	D F B A C	D A F E E B B F A C C D	$\begin{array}{c ccc} D & A & B^* \\ \hline F & E & C^* \\ \hline E & B & A^* \\ \hline B & F & D^* \\ \hline A & C & E^* \\ \hline C & D & F^* \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

This is a Latin square.

36 varieties

Constructing resolved designs with r replicates

For r = 2 or r = 3: Replicate 1 the blocks are the rows of the grid Replicate 2 the blocks are the columns of the grid Replicate 3 the blocks are the starfish of one particular column These are square lattice designs. For r = 4, r = 5, r = 6, r = 7 or r = 8 we can construct very efficient resolved designs using some of all rows of the grid all columns of the grid all starfish of some columns. Note that, if there is an edge from *a* to *c* in the graph, then

varieties *a* and *c* both occur in both starfish S(a) and S(c). So if we use the galaxies of starfish of two or more columns then some treatment concurrences will be bigger than 1.

Bailey

SMVT 2018

Consquence I: concurrences

36 varieties

The Sylvester graph has no triangles or quadrilaterals.

Consequence

If we make each starfish into a block, then the only way that distinct treatments *a* and *d* can occur together in more than one block is for vertices *a* and *d* to be joined by an edge so that they both occur in the starfish S(a) and S(d).



More properties of the Sylvester graph



Vertices at distance 2 from *a* are all in rows and columns different from *a*.

The Sylvester graph has no triangles or quadrilaterals.

This implies that, if *a* is any vertex, the vertices at distance 2 from vertex *a* are precisely those vertices which are not in the starfish S(a) or the row containing *a* or the column containing *a*. 36 varieties SMVT 2018

Consquence II: association scheme

If *a* is any vertex, the vertices at distance 2 from vertex *a* are precisely those vertices which are not in the starfish S(a)or the row containing *a* or the column containing *a*.

Consequence

The four binary relations:

- different vertices in the same row;
- different vertices in the same column;
- vertices joined by an edge in the Sylvester graph Σ;
- \blacktriangleright vertices at distance 2 in Σ

form an association scheme.

So, for any incomplete-block design which is partially balanced with respect to this association scheme, the information matrix has five eigenspaces, which we know (in fact, they have dimensions 1, 5, 5, 9 and 16), so it is straightforward to calculate the eigenvalues and hence the canonical efficiency factors.

23/48

Bailev

21/48

Bailey

Our designs

- $*^m$ galaxies of starfish from *m* columns, where $1 \le m \le 6$
- R, $*^m$ all rows; galaxies of starfish from *m* columns
- C, $*^m$ all columns; galaxies of starfish from *m* columns
- R, C, $*^m$ all rows; all columns; galaxies of starfish from *m* columns,

If m = 6 then the designed is partially balanced with respect to the association scheme just described and so we can easily calculate the canonical efficiency factors. Otherwise, we use computational algebra to calculate them exactly.

The large group of automorphisms tell us that

36 varieties

- the design R, $*^m$ has the same canonical efficiency factors as the design C, $*^m$;
- ▶ if we use the galaxies of starfish from *m* columns it does not matter which subset of *m* columns we use.

Constructing a PB resolved design with 7 replicates

For each column, make a replicate whose blocks are the 6 starfish whose centres are in that column. For the 7-th replicate, the blocks are the columns.

concurrence = $\begin{cases} 2 & \text{for vertices joined by an edge} \\ 1 & \text{for vertices at distance 2} \\ 1 & \text{for vertices in the same column} \\ 0 & \text{for vertices in the same column} \end{cases}$

SMVT 2018

SMVT 2018

0 for vertices in the same row.

canonical efficiency factor $\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \frac{19}{21} & \frac{6}{7} & \frac{11}{14} \\ multiplicity & 5 & 9 & 5 & 16 \end{vmatrix}$

The harmonic mean is $\mu_A = 0.8507$.

36 varieties

The unachievable upper bound given by the non-existent square lattice design is A = 0.8571.

Constructing a PB resolved design with 6 replicates

For each column, make a replicate whose blocks are the 6 starfish whose centres are in that column.

concurrence = $\begin{cases} 2 & \text{for vertices joined by an edge} \\ 1 & \text{for vertices at distance 2} \\ 0 & \text{for vertices in the same row or column.} \end{cases}$

canonical efficiency factor $\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \frac{8}{9} & \frac{3}{4} \\ multiplicity & 10 & 9 & 16 \end{vmatrix}$

The harmonic mean is $\mu_A = 0.8442$.

36 varieties

The unachievable upper bound given by the non-existent square lattice design is $\mu_A = 0.8537$.

SMVT 2018

26/48

Constructing a PB resolved design with 8 replicates

For each column, make a replicate whose blocks are the 6 starfish whose centres are in that column. For the 7-th replicate, the blocks are the columns. For the 8-th replicate, the blocks are the rows.

concurrence = $\begin{cases} 2 & \text{for vertices joined by an edge} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

canonical efficiency factor $\begin{vmatrix} \frac{11}{12} & \frac{7}{8} & \frac{13}{16} \\ multiplicity & 9 & 10 & 16 \end{vmatrix}$

The harmonic mean is $\mu_A = 0.8549$.

The non-existent design consisting of a balanced design in 7 replicates with one more replicate adjoined would have A = 0.8547.

Bailey

Bailey

25/48

Bailey

Values of	μ_A for ou	r desigr	าร				Chap	oter 3		
	R, C, $*^{r-2}$ 0.8235 0.8380 0.8453 0.8498 0.8528 0.8549 ghted entries three correspondences	$C, *^{r-1}$ 0.8341 0.8422 0.8473 0.8507 correspond to d	* ^r 0.8285 0.8383 0.8442 ond to paresigns wl	HDP/ERW 1976 0.836 rtially balanc	square lattice 0.8235 0.8400 0.8485 0.8537 0.8571 0.8547 ced designs. exist.		Ν	lew designs constructed	d from semi-Latin squares	
Bailey	36 var	ieties		SMVT 2018		29/48	Bailey	36 varieties	SMVT 2018	
What is	a semi-Lat	in squar	re?				A (6	\times 6)/2 semi-Latir	i square	
Definit A $(n \times n^2$ bloc which letter c	ion n)/s semi-L ks of size s are laid out i occurs once ir	atin squa n a $n \times n$ n each row	re is an a square ir v and on	rrangement n such a way ce in each co	of <i>ns</i> letters that each lumn.	in	Т	$ \begin{array}{c cccc} A & L & F & K \\ \hline C & I & B & J \\ \hline E & K & H & I \\ \hline D & J & A & E \\ \hline F & G & C & D \\ \hline B & H & G & L \\ \hline \end{array} $ his one is not made fro	C H B G D I E J E F H L G K A D D G A F J L B C I L C K B F G H I L C K B F G H J K D E A C F I m two Latin squares. squares. squares. squares. squares.	
Bailey	36 var	ieties		SMVT 2018		31/48	Bailey	36 varieties	SMVT 2018	32/4

The semi-Latin square made from the galaxies of starfish centered on columns 3 and 4

$\frac{D \zeta A \epsilon B^* \beta C \gamma^+ E \delta F \alpha}{F \delta E \alpha C^* \gamma B \beta^+ D \epsilon A \zeta}{E \beta B \zeta A^* \alpha D \delta^+ F \gamma C \epsilon}{B \epsilon F \beta D^* \delta A \alpha^+ C \zeta E \gamma}{A \gamma C \delta E^* \epsilon F \zeta^+ B \alpha D \beta}{C \alpha D \gamma F^* \zeta E \epsilon^+ A \beta B \delta}$ *centre of Latin starfish +centre of Greek starfish	DefinitionIf a semi-Latin square is made by superposing <i>s</i> mutually orthogonal $n \times n$ Latin squares then it is called a Trojan square.A semi-Latin square does not have to be made by superposing Latin squares.Theorem If a Trojan square exists, then it is optimal among semi-Latin squares 			
Bailey36 varietiesSMVT 201833/48	Bailey 36 varieties SMVT 2018			
From semi-Latin square to block design Suppose that we have a $(n \times n)/s$ semi-Latin square. Construction	Good leads to good Theorem If the block design has A-criterion μ_A and the semi-Latin square has A-criterion λ_A then			
2. Each of the <i>ns</i> letters gives a block of <i>n</i> varieties.	$\frac{35}{\mu_A} = 6(6-s) + \frac{6s-1}{\lambda_A}.$			
If the semi-Latin square is made by superposing <i>s</i> Latin squares then the block design is resolvable.	So maximizing μ_A is the same as maximizing λ_A (among semi-Latin squares which are superpositions of Latin			

Trojan squares

36 varieties

SMVT 2018

35/48

squares, if we insist on resovable designs).

What is known about good semi-Latin squares with n = 6? Semi-Latin square to block design: again Good designs have been found by RAB, Gordon Royle and Leonard Soicher, partly by computer search. Independently, Brickell (1984) found some in communications theory. In 2013, LHS gave a $(6 \times 6)/6$ semi-Latin square made superposing Latin squares, so it gives $(6 \times 6)/s$ semi-Latin Just as with the designs made from the Sylvester graph, if we squares for $2 \le s \le 6$. make a block design from a semi-Latin square then we have the option of including another replicate whose blocks are the rows The table shows values of λ_A . and another replicate whose blocks are the columns. Brickell RAB/GR Brickell Trojan As before, these two special replicates give us better designs LHS web LHS 2013 $*^{s}$ RAB 1990 1997 square Sthan just using a semi-Latin square with 12 more letters. 0.4889 0.5127 0.5133 0.5116 0.5238 2 3 0.6922 0.6745 0.6939 0.6730 4 0.7604 0.7614 0.7753 5 0.8111 0.8227 0.8111 0.8442 0.8442 0.8537 6 partially balanced do not exist 36 varieties SMVT 2018 37/48 Bailey 36 varieties SMVT 2018 38/48 Bailey Chapter 4 Personal communication from Emlyn Williams When Emlyn Williams saw what we had done, he was motivated to re-run that computer search from the 1970s with a more up-to-date version of his search program on New designs found by computer search a more up-to date computer. Thus he found resolvable designs for 36 varieties in up to eight replicates of blocks of size six. All concurrences are in $\{0, 1, 2\}$.

Bailey

36 varieties

SMVT 2018

For $r = 2$ and $r = 3$ the designs in all three of the new series are square lattice designs. For $4 \le r \le 7$ the designs in all three series have efficiency factors r_{4} not for below the unachievable upper bound. For $r = 8$, the designs in all three series have efficiency factors r_{4} not for below the unachievable upper bound. For $r = 8$, the designs in all three series have efficiency factors r_{4} not for below the unachievable upper bound. For $r = 8$, the design with one replicate duplicated.The design with one replicate duplicated. $\overline{r \mid R, C_{+}r^{-2} \mid +R, C \mid EW$ lattice $4 \mid 0.03380 \mid 0.8510 \mid 0.8510 \mid 0.8517 \mid 0.8528 \mid 0.8511 \mid 0.8517 \mid 0.8518 \mid 0.8$	Chapter 5	Comparing the values of μ_A for the new designs
Balley36 varietiesSMT 201541/8Balley36 varietiesSMT 201542/4Are any of the new designs the same?Are any of these designs the same?Two block designs are isomorphic if one can be converted into the other by a permutation of varieties and a permutation of blocks.If two designs are isomorphic then their efficiency factors are the same, but the converse may not be true.It is possible that the LHS and ERW designs for $r = 4$ are isomorphic. Otherwise, for $4 \le r \le 7$, the efficiency factors of the three new designs differ slightly, so no pair of the new designs are isomorphic. For $r = 8$, all three new designs have the same efficiency factor. However, no pair are isomorphic, even though there are permutations of the varieties that convert any one concurrence matrix into either of the other two	Comparison of designs	For $r = 2$ and $r = 3$ the designs in all three of the new series are square lattice designs. For $4 \le r \le 7$ the designs in all three series have efficiency factors μ_A not far below the unachievable upper bound. For $r = 8$, they all do better than a balanced square lattice design with one replicate duplicated. $\overline{\begin{array}{c} \hline RAB/PJC & LHS & square \\ 4 & 0.8380 & 0.8393 & 0.8393 & 0.8400 \\ 5 & 0.8453 & 0.8456 & 0.8464 & 0.8485 \\ \hline 6 & 0.8498 & 0.8501 & 0.8510 & 0.8537 \\ \hline 7 & 0.8528 & 0.8528 & 0.8542 & 0.8571 \\ \hline 8 & 0.8549 & 0.8549 & 0.8549 & 0.8547 \\ \hline \end{array}}$
Are any of the new designs the same?Are any of these designs the same?Are any of these designs the same?Are any of these designs the same?Two block designs are isomorphic if one can be converted into the other by a permutation of varieties and a permutation of blocks. \overline{r} $\overline{RAB/P C}$ \overline{LHS} $\overline{R,C,*^{r-2}}$ $\overline{R,C}$ $\overline{R,C,*^{r-2}}$ $\overline{R,C}$ $\overline{R,C,*^{r-2}}$ $\overline{R,C}$ $\overline{R,C,*^{r-2}}$ \overline{RW} $\overline{R,C,*^{r-2}}$ \overline{RW} $\overline{R,C,*^{r-2}}$ \overline{RW} $\overline{R,C,*^{r-2}}$ \overline{RW} 	Bailey 36 varieties SMVT 2018 41/48	Bailey 36 varieties SMVT 2018 42/4
Two block designs are isomorphic if one can be converted into the other by a permutation of varieties and a permutation of blocks.RAB/PJC $R, C, *^{r-2}$ LHS $R, C, *^{r-2}$ square lattice 410.83800.83930.840050.84530.84560.84640.848560.84980.85100.851770.85280.85280.85490.854180.85490.85490.85490.854990.85490.85490.85490.854711tis possible that the LHS and ERW designs for $r = 4$ are isomorphic, and that the RAB/PJC and LHS designs for $r = 7$ are isomorphic. Otherwise, for $4 \le r \le 7$, the efficiency factors of the three new designs differ slightly, so no pair of the new designs are isomorphic.For $r = 8$, all three new designs have the same efficiency factor. However, no pair are isomorphic, even though there are permutations of the varieties that convert any one concurrence matrix into either of the other two	Are any of the new designs the same?	Are any of these designs the same?
	Two block designs are isomorphic if one can be converted into the other by a permutation of varieties and a permutation of blocks. If two designs are isomorphic then their efficiency factors are the same, but the converse may not be true.	RAB/PJCLHSsquare r R, C, $*^{r-2}$ +R, CERWlattice 4 0.83800.83930.83930.8400 5 0.84530.84560.84640.8485 6 0.84980.85010.85100.8537 7 0.85280.85280.85420.8571 8 0.85490.85490.85490.8547It is possible that the LHS and ERW designs for $r = 4$ are isomorphic, and that the RAB/PJC and LHS designs for $r = 7$ are isomorphic. Otherwise, for $4 \le r \le 7$, the efficiency factors of the three new designs differ slightly, so no pair of the new designs are isomorphic.For $r = 8$, all three new designs have the same efficiency factor. However, no pair are isomorphic, even though there are permutations of the varieties that convert any one concurrence matrix into either of the other two

Chapter 6	Lattice designs
References	 Frank Yates (1936): A new method of arranging variety trials involving a large number of varieties. <i>Journal of Agricultural Science</i> 226, 424–455. Frank Yates (1937): A further note on the arrangement of variety trials: quasi-Latin squares. <i>Annals of Eugenics</i> 7, 319–332. H. D. Patterson and E. R. Williams (1976): A new class of resolvable incomplete block designs. <i>Biometrika</i> 63, 83–92. E. R. Williams (1977): Iterative analysis of generalized lattice designs. <i>Australian Journal of Statistics</i> 19, 39–42. CS. Cheng and R. A. Bailey (1991): Optimality of some two-associate-class partially balanced incomplete-block designs. <i>Annals of Statistics</i> 19, 1667–1671.
Bailey36 varietiesSMVT 201845/48	Bailey 36 varieties SMVT 2018 46/44
The Sylvester graph	Semi-Latin squares
 R. F. Bailey keeps a database of distance-regular graphs, including the Sylvester graph, at www.distanceregular.org. A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen and A. Neumaier (1989): <i>Distance-Regular Graphs</i>, Ergebnisse der Mathematik 318, Springer: Heidelberg. R. A. Bailey, Peter J. Cameron and Tomas Nilson (2018): Sesqui-arrays, a generalisation of triple arrays. <i>Australasian Journal of Combinatorics</i> 71, 427–451. 	 Leonard H. Soicher: SOMA update. www.maths.qmul.ac.uk/~leonard/soma/ E. F. Brickell (1984): A few results in message authentication. <i>Congressus Numerantium</i> 43, 141–154. R. A. Bailey (1990): An efficient semi-Latin square for twelve treatments in blocks of size two. <i>Journal of Statistical</i> <i>Planning and Inference</i> 26, 263–266. R. A. Bailey and G. Royle (1997): Optimal semi-Latin squares with side six and block size two. <i>Proceedings of the</i> <i>Royal Society, Series A</i> 453, 1903–1914. L. H. Soicher (2013): Optimal and efficient semi-Latin squares. <i>Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference</i> 143, 573–582.